Appendix C

Statistical Considerations

The monthly sales (volume and price) and monthly deliveries (volume) of natural gas to residential, commercial and industrial consumers presented in this report by State are estimated from data reported on the Form EIA-857, "Monthly Report of Natural Gas Purchases and Deliveries to Consumers." (See Appendix B for a description of this Form.) These estimations must be made from the reported data since the Form EIA-857 is a sample survey. A description of the sample design and the estimation procedures is given below.

Sample Design

The Form EIA-857 is a monthly sample survey of companies delivering natural gas to consumers. It includes inter- and intrastate pipeline companies, and producers, as well as local distribution companies. The survey provides data that are used each month to estimate the volume of natural gas delivered and the price for onsystem sales of natural gas by State to three consumer sectors—residential, commercial, and industrial. Monthly deliveries and prices of natural gas to the electric power sector are reported on the Form EIA-906, "Power Plant Report, and the Form FERC-423, "Monthly Report of Costs and Quality of Fuels for Electric Plants."

Sample Universe. The sample currently in use was selected from a universe of 1,556 companies. These companies were respondents to the Form EIA-176, "Annual Report of Natural and Supplemental Gas Supply and Disposition," for reporting year 2001 who reported sales or deliveries to consumers in the residential, commercial or industrial sectors. (See Appendix B for a description of the Form EIA-176.)

Sampling Plan. The goal was a sample that would provide estimates of monthly natural gas consumption by the three consuming sectors within each State and the District of Columbia. A stratified sample using a single stage and systematic selection with probability proportional to size was designed. The measure of size was the volume of natural gas physically delivered in the State to the three consuming sectors by the company in 2001. There were two strata—companies selected with certainty and companies selected under the systematic probability proportional to size design.

Initial calculations showed that a 25 percent sample of companies would yield reasonably accurate estimates. The sample was selected independently in each State, resulting in a national total of 405 respondent companies.

Certainty Stratum. Since estimates were needed for each of the 50 States and the District of Columbia, the strata were established independently within each State. In 16 States and the District of Columbia where sampling was not feasible due to small numbers of companies and/or small volumes of gas deliveries, all companies were selected. The 16 States were: Alaska, Connecticut, Delaware, Hawaii, Idaho, Maine, North Dakota, New Hampshire, New Jersey, Nevada, Oregon, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Utah, Vermont, and Washington.

For each of the remaining States, the total volumes of industrial sales and deliveries and of the combined residential/commercial sales and deliveries were determined. Companies with natural gas deliveries to the industrial sector or to the combined residential/commercial sector above a certain level were selected with certainty. Since a few large companies often account for most of the natural gas delivered within a State, this ensures those companies' inclusion in the sample. The formula for determining certainty was applied independently in the two consumer sectors—the industrial and the combined residential/commercial. These selected companies, together with the companies in the jurisdictions discussed where sampling was not feasible, formed the certainty stratum.

All companies with natural gas deliveries in sector j greater than the cut-off value (C.j) were included in the certainty stratum. The formula for C.j was:

$$C_{.j} = \frac{X_{.j}}{2n} \qquad (1)$$

where:

 C_{i} = cutoff value for consumer sector j,

n = target sample size to be selected for the State, 25 percent of the companies in the State,

 X_{ij} = the annual volume of natural gas deliveries by company i to customers in consumer sector j,

 X_{i} = the sum within State of annual gas volumes for company i,

 X_{j} = the sum within State of annual gas volumes in consumer sector j,

X.. = the sum within State of annual gas volumes in all consumer sectors.

Noncertainty Stratum. All other companies formed the noncertainty stratum. They were systematically sampled with probability proportional to size. The measure of size for each company was the total volume of gas sales to all consumer sectors (Xi.). The number of companies to be selected from the noncertainty stratum was calculated for each State, with a minimum of 2.

The formula for selecting the number of noncertainty stratum companies was:

$$m = n \frac{X2}{X} \qquad (2)$$

where:

m = the sample size for the noncertainty stratum within a State.

X2 = the sum within State of the Xi. for all companies in the noncertainty stratum.

Companies were listed in ascending order according to their measure of size and then a cumulative measure of size in the stratum was calculated for each company. The cumulative measure of size was the sum of the measures of size for that company and all preceding companies on the list. An interval of width I for selecting the companies systematically was calculated using.

A uniform random number R was selected between zero and $\left(I = \frac{X2}{m}\right)I$. The first sampled company was the first

company on the list to have a cumulative measure of size greater than R. The second company selected was the first company on the list to have a cumulative measure of size greater than R+I. R+I was increased again by I to determine the third company to be selected. This procedure was repeated until the entire sample was drawn.

Subgroups. In four States, the noncertainty stratum was divided into subgroups to ensure that gas in each consumer sector could be estimated. The systematic sample with probability proportional to size design described above was applied independently in each subgroup. The

methods for determining the subgroup sample size and calculating the subgroup interval for sample selection were the same as the methods described above for the noncertainty stratum, except that X2 was the sum within State of the $X_{\scriptscriptstyle L}$ for only those companies in the subgroup.

These subgroups were defined only for the purpose of sample selection. They are:

Kansas, Louisiana, Texas: companies delivering gas only to industrial consumers and those delivering to any other sector.

South Carolina: companies delivering more than 3 Bcf to consumers and those below that level.

Estimation Procedures

Estimates of Volumes. A ratio estimator is applied to the volumes reported in each State by the sampled companies to estimate the total gas sales and deliveries for the State. Ratio estimators are calculated for each consumer sector — residential, commercial, and industrial —in each State where companies are sampled. The following annual data are taken from the most recent submissions of Form EIA-176:

The formula for calculating the ratio estimator (Evj) for the volume of gas in consumer sector j is:

$$E_{vj} = \frac{\gamma_{.j}}{\gamma_{.j}} \qquad (3)$$

where:

 $\gamma_{.j}$ = the sum within State of annual gas volumes in consumer sector j for all companies,

 $\gamma_{.j}^{'}$ = the sum within State of annual gas volumes in consumer sector *j* for those companies in the sample.

The ratio estimator is applied as follows:

$$V_{.j} =_{y.j} \times E_{vj} \qquad (4)$$

where:

 V_j = the State estimate of monthly gas volumes in consumer sector j,

 y_j = the sum within State of reported monthly gas volumes in consumer sector j.

Computation of Natural Gas Prices. The natural gas volumes that are included in the computation of

prices represent only those volumes associated with natural gas sales.

The price of natural gas for a State within a sector is calculated as follows:

$$P_{j} = \frac{R_{j}}{V_{j}'}$$

where:

 P_j = the average price for gas sales within the State in consumer sector j,

 R_j = the reported revenue from natural gas sales within the State in consumer sector j,

 V_j = the reported volume of natural gas sales within the State in consumer sector j.

The monthly average prices of natural gas are based on sales data only. Volumes of gas delivered for the account of others to these consumer sectors are not included in the State or national average prices.

Table 25 shows the percent of the total State volume that represents volumes from natural gas sales to the commercial and industrial sectors. This table may be helpful in evaluating commercial and industrial price data. Virtually all natural gas deliveries to the residential sector represent onsystem sales volumes only.

See the section on consumer price calculations in this Appendix for further price information.

Estimation for Nonrespondents. A volume for each consumer category is imputed for companies that fail to respond. The imputation is based on the previous month's value reported by the non-responding company and the change from the previous month to the current month in volumes reported by other companies in the State. The imputed volumes are included in the State totals. To estimate prices for non-respondents, the unit price (dollars per thousand cubic feet) reported by the company in the previous month is used.

The formula for imputing volumes of gas volumes for nonrespondents was:

Where:

$$F_t = F_{t-1} \times \frac{y_{.jt}}{y_{.jt-1}}$$
 (5)

F_t = imputed gas volume for current month t,

 F_{t-1} = gas volume for the company for the previous month.

 y_{jt} = gas volume reported by companies in the State stratum for report month t,

 y_{jt} = gas volume in the previous month for companies in the State stratum that reported in month t.

Final Revisions

Adjusting Monthly Data to Annual Data. After the annual data reported on the Form EIA-176 have been submitted, edited, and prepared for publication in *the Natural Gas Annual*, revisions are made to monthly data. The revisions are made to the volumes and prices of natural gas delivered to consumers that have appeared in the *Natural Gas Monthly (NGM)* to match them to the annual values appearing in *the Natural Gas Annual*. The revised monthly estimates allocate the difference between the sum of monthly estimates and the annual reports according to the distribution of the estimated values across the months.

Before the final revisions are made, changes or additions to submitted data received after publication of the monthly estimate and not sufficiently large to require a revision to be published in the NGM, are used to derive an updated estimate of monthly consumption and revenues for each State's residential, commercial, or industrial natural gas consumption.

For each State, two numbers are revised, the estimated consumption and the estimated price per thousand cubic feet.

The formula for revising the estimated consumption is:

$$V_{jm}^* = V_{jm} + \left[(V_{ja} - V_{jm}) \left(\frac{V_{jm}}{V_{jm}} \right) \right]$$
 (6)

where:

 V^*_{jm} = the final volume estimate for month m in consumer sector j,

 V_{jm} = the estimated volume for month m in consumer sector j,

 V_{ja} = the volume for the year reported on Form EIA-176.

 V'_{im} = The annual sum of estimated monthly volumes

The price is calculated as described above in the Estimation Procedures section, using the final revised consumption estimate and a revised revenue estimate.

The formula for revising the estimated revenue is:

$$R_{jm}^{*} = R_{jm} + \left[(R_{ja} - R_{jm}) \left(\frac{R_{jm}}{R_{jm}} \right) \right]$$
 (7)

where:

 R^*_{jm} = the final revenue estimate for month m in consumer sector j,

 R_{jm} = the estimated revenue for month m in consumer sector j,

 R_{ia} = the revenue for the year reported on Form EIA-176,

 R'_{im} = The annual sum of estimated monthly revenues.

Revision of Volumes and Prices for Deliveries to Electric Power Sector. Revisions to monthly deliveries to the electric power sector are published throughout the year as they become available.

Reliability of Monthly Data

The monthly data published in this report are subject to two sources of error - nonsampling error and sampling error. Nonsampling errors occur in the collection and processing of the data. See the discussion of the Form EIA-857 in Appendix B for a description of nonsampling errors for monthly data.

Sampling error may be defined as the difference between the results obtained from a sample and the results that a complete enumeration would provide. The standard error statistic is a measurement of sampling error. Standard Errors. A standard error of an estimate is a statistical measure that indicates how the estimate from the sample compares to the result from a complete enumeration. Standard errors are calculated based on statistical theory that refers to all possible samples of the same size and design.

The standard errors for monthly natural gas volume estimates by State are given in Table C1. Ninety-five percent of the time, the volume that would have been obtained from a complete enumeration will lie in the range between the estimated volume minus two standard errors and the estimated volume plus two standard errors.

The standard error of the natural gas volume estimate is the square root of the variance of the estimate. The formula for calculating the variance of the volume estimate is:

$$V(\hat{\gamma}) = \sum_{h=1}^{H} \left[N_h^2 \frac{\left(1 - \frac{n_h}{N_h}\right)}{n_h(n_h - 1)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{L} (y_i - Tx_i)^2 \right) \right]$$
(8)

where:

H =the total number of strata

 N_b = the total number of companies in stratum h

 n_h = the sample size in stratum h

 y_i = the reported monthly volume for company I

 x_i = the reported annual volume for company i

T = the ratio of the sum of the reported monthly volumes for sample companies to the sum of the reported annual volumes for the sample companies.

Table C-1. Standard Error for Natural Gas Deliveries and Price to Consumers by State, January 2003

Alabama Alaska Arizona Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Illinois Indiana Illinois Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada	Residential	Commercial	Industrial				Price Dollars per Thousand Cubic Feet		
Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Illinois Indiana Illinois Maryland Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada			aasti iai	Total	Residential	Commercial	Industrial		
Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Illinois Indiana Illinois Maryland Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada	5,825	1,791	1,308	6,233	NA	0.77	NA		
Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Hawaii daho Illinois Indiana Owa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada	0,020	,	1,306	,		0.77			
Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Ilowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada		0	-	0	- 0.00	0.40	_		
California Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Illinois Mansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada	185 na	62 NA	0	196 NA	0.22 NA	0.10 NA			
Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Illinois Maryland Massachusetts Mischigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada	NA NA	NA NA	8 NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	0.01 NA		
Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Hawaii daho Illinois Indiana Owa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada									
Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Hawaii daho Illinois Indiana Owa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada	0	0	NA	NA					
Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Illinois Indiana Illinois	0	0							
District of Columbia Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Idowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada	0 0	0 NA	O NA	O NA					
Florida	-					NA	_		
Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada	0	0	O NA	O NA	0.77		NA		
-lawaii daho Illinois ndiana owa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Wichigan Winnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada	178	99			0.77	1.15			
-lawaii daho Illinois ndiana owa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Wichigan Winnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada	1 105	744	2.422	2 607	0.47	NA	NA		
Idaho	1,185	741	3,423	3,697	0.47				
Illinois Indiana Ilowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada	0	0	0	0		_	_		
ndiana	0	0	0	0	_	-	_		
owa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada	7,699	3,571	774	8,522	0.16	0.18	0.25		
Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada	1,592	1,868	3,276	4,093	0.41	0.21	0.60		
Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada			<u>.</u> .						
Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada	196	284	21	346	0.07	0.11	0.22 NA		
Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada	1,929	710	955	2,266	0.86	0.31			
Maine	324	1,174	.641	1,376	0.48	0.37	NA		
Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada	191	236	NA	ŇA	0.23	0.22	NA		
Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada	0	0	0	0		_	_		
Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada									
Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada	35	20	83	92	0.02	0.06	0.69		
Minnesota	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
Mississippi	0	0	0	0		_	_		
Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada	445	572	121	735	0.09	0.07	0.13		
Montana Nebraska Nevada	0	0	0	0		_			
Montana Nebraska Nevada									
Nebraska Nevada	131	245	1,635	1,658	0.16	0.16	0.41		
Nevada	2	6	0	6	0.02	0.04	_		
	0	0	0	0		_	_		
	0	0	0	0		_	_		
New Hampshire	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
·									
New Jersey	0	0	0	0		_	_		
New Mexico	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
New York	1,662	2,635	915	3,247	NA	0.12	NA		
North Carolina	ŃA	ŃA	211	ŃΑ	0.53	0.12	0.10		
North Dakota	0	0	0	0	_	_	_		
	-	-	-	-					
Ohio	6,360	3,321	3,310	7,902	0.33	0.98	NA		
Oklahoma	12,048	3,456	283	12,537	NA NA	5.07	NA		
Oregon	0	0, 100	0	0		_			
Pennsylvania	786	NA O	827	NA O	0.07	0.07	NA		
,			3 - .		5.0.	0.			
South Carolina	218	NA	310	NA	0.13	0.14	0.13		
South Dakota	0	0	NA	NA	-	_	-		
Tennessee	801	474	1,038	1,394	0.10	0.19	0.93		
Texas	20,342	29,275	23,032	42,442	0.64	0.71	0.33		
Jtah	20,342	29,275	23,032	42,442	0.64	U.7 I —	U.14 —		
J. G. I	U	U	U	U					
Vermont	0	0	0	0		_	_		
Virginia	380	562	333	755	0.04	0.16	0.09		
Washington	0	0	0	0	-	0.10	0.09		
· ·	NA U	NA U	NA U	NA U	NA	NA	NA		
West Virginia									
Wisconsin	978	881	515 NA	1,414 NA	0.51	0.47	0.22 NA		
Wyoming	100	245	•		0.75	0.36			
Total	26,628	30,209	24,299	47,033	0.20	0.36	0.79		

NA Not Available.

Source: Energy Information Administration, Form EIA-857, "Monthly Report of Natural Gas Purchases and Deliveries to Consumers."

Not Applicable.